7 March 1969

Harvold -

you told me to watch out for the number 110669, and why that number is significant. I have thought about it, and the material that follows indicates the first steps in the course of my thinking. I plan to provide this further when it can get in touch with someone who can help me, but now if offer it much as a suggestion for a line of inquiry.

to codes, so realize how tentatively it offer this. I'll try to contact someone who tenents about such matters, and he may be able to determine the validity of this line of inquiry.

I suggest that you compare 110669 with the number 19166 that appears in the motebooks of both Shaw and Oswald. LHO we the Russian Letters for "DD" preceding 19166, and Shaw lists the following address:

les Odum PC Box 19106 Dailai, Tex.

Not my do the motelrook numbers correspond, but also the mame lee Odum corresponds with fee Oswald in the first name and first initial of the last name; that is, lee O(down) corresponds with fee O(xwald). That reclationship adds considerably to the probability that the correspondence between the two motebrook numbers is not accidental.

Considering the numbers themselves, one ought also to porder this feature: that both 110669 and 19106 contain only the digits 1,6,9, and 0. All those four digits appear in both numbers, and neither of the numbers entains other digits.

The conversion of one number to the other is easily accomplished. Because if do not know about eryptography, it cannot explain the trationality of the registern, but if shall try to check and learn whether there is a Milliam standard or systematic method of conversion.

The 5-digit number can be converted to the 6-digit number lay repeating the last digit and shifting the second digit to the end of the series; e.g.:

Conversely, the k-digit number can be converted to the 5-digit as follows:

[| 1 0 6 1/6 9]

It is a said, is do not know about codes, and cannot tell whether this represents a logical method of conversion. I suggest that you make inquiries about it; it'll do the same. I think it may be guessing in the right area, but do not know if my measons for so guesting are justified.

To carry speculation one step farther (assuming that it am regat about the aboutation relationship between the two numbers), this might be the motive for the inclusion of 1910 to in the notebroks of the two men:

it experiently in his motels roke (while in Russia) as "DO" 19106. The letters "DD" may have no significance.

Shaw wanted to remember not only the number, but also the proport with whom it was associated. The name bee O(dum) stands for her O(swald), and 1910b we is a coded review of 110669.

The "real" Les Odeum, who surjaces in ballar after garrison played with the number 1910b, would of course negate all speculation regarding the association of the two numbers in question. If it appears that there is a standard or systematic method of converting 1910b to 110669, then I would be strongly inclined to reject Les Odum of Dallas as a phony. I suspect that, in any ease.

Does this make to you?

Still, Alle BERNABER